HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 6231 of 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Milapbai Suryvanshi, Aged – about 40 years, W/o Shri Radheshyam Survanshi R/o – Village Kheara Dangania, Post – Kheara, P.S Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Laxman S/o Pardeshiram Suryvanshi, Aged about – 22 years, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. Bharat S/o Pardeshiram Suryvanshi, Aged – about 20 ears, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Shatrughan S/o Pardeshiram, Aged- about 18 years, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Pardeshiram Suryvanshi, S/o Gangaram, Aged – about 45 years, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Kaushalyabai Suryvanshi, W/o – Shri Pardeshiram Suryvanshi, Aged- about 40 years, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Ramratan Soni S/o Shri Dukhiram Soni, Aged – about 35 years, R/o Durga Mohalla, Village Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. – Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
7. Anil Suryanshi, S/o Unknown, Aged- about 25 years, R/o Naribhatta, Village, Kheara Dagania, Post – Kheara, P.S. Ratanpur, The. & Distt.

(43)

Bilaspur (C.G.)

8. State of Chhattisgarh, Through:- The Secretary, Home Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur District – Raipur (C.G.0
9. The Collector Bilaspur, District – Bilaspur (C.G.)
10. The Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur, District – Bilaspur (C.G.)
11. The Thana Prabhari Shipat, Police Station Shipat, The. & Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: None for the petitioner.
Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 8th day of August, 2007)

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner may not be interested in the matter.

2. In view of the above, the matter is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. Registry is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the petitioner forthwith.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Amit